# Jordan nilpotency in Group Rings

César Polcino Milies

Universidade de São Paulo

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

## Introduction

### Notation

Let A be an associative ring. The Lie bracket of two elements  $a, b \in A$  is given by:

$$[a,b] = ab - ba.$$

With usual addition and the Lie bracket as a multiplication, *A* becomes a **Lie Algebra** in the sense that it satisfies the **Jacobi Identity**:

$$[[x, y], z] + [[z, x], y] + [[y, z], x] = 0 \quad \forall x, y, z \in A.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

### Notation

Let A be an associative ring. The Lie bracket of two elements  $a, b \in A$  is given by:

$$[a,b] = ab - ba.$$

With usual addition and the Lie bracket as a multiplication, *A* becomes a **Lie Algebra** in the sense that it satisfies the **Jacobi Identity**:

$$[[x, y], z] + [[z, x], y] + [[y, z], x] = 0 \quad \forall x, y, z \in A.$$

The ring is said to be **Lie nilpotent**, of index *n*, if  $[\dots [[x_1, x_2], x_3], \dots, x_n] = 0$  for all choices of elements  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in A$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆注▶ ◆注▶ 注 のへぐ

Let A be an associative ring. The **circle operation** of two elements  $a, b \in A$  is given by:

 $a \circ b = ab + ba$ .

With usual addition and this operation as a multiplication, *A* becomes a **Jordan Algebra** in the sense that it satisfies the **Jordan Identity**:

$$((x \circ x) \circ y) \circ x = (x \circ x) \circ (y \circ x) \quad \forall x, y, z \in A.$$

Let A be an associative ring. The **circle operation** of two elements  $a, b \in A$  is given by:

 $a \circ b = ab + ba$ .

With usual addition and this operation as a multiplication, *A* becomes a **Jordan Algebra** in the sense that it satisfies the **Jordan Identity**:

$$((x \circ x) \circ y) \circ x = (x \circ x) \circ (y \circ x) \quad \forall x, y, z \in A.$$

The ring is said to be **Jordan nilpotent**, of index *n*, if  $(\ldots ((x_1 \circ x_2) \circ x_3) \circ \ldots \circ x_n) = 0$  for all choices of elements  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in A$ .

## Theorem (Passi, Passman and Sehgal 1973)

Let K be a field with  $char(K) = p \ge 0$  and G a group. The group algebra KG is Lie nilpotent if and only if G is nilpotent and p-abelian.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## Theorem (Passi, Passman and Sehgal 1973)

Let K be a field with  $char(K) = p \ge 0$  and G a group. The group algebra KG is Lie nilpotent if and only if G is nilpotent and p-abelian.

Recall: G is p-abelian if G' is a finite p group (when p > 0) or G is an abelian group (when p=0).

The Classical Involution of a group ring is defined extending linearly the map  $g \mapsto g^{-1}$  to RG:

The Classical Involution of a group ring is defined extending linearly the map  $g \mapsto g^{-1}$  to RG:

$$\alpha = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g \quad \mapsto \quad \alpha^* = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g^{-1}.$$

The Classical Involution of a group ring is defined extending linearly the map  $g \mapsto g^{-1}$  to RG:

$$\alpha = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g \quad \mapsto \quad \alpha^* = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g^{-1}$$

#### Definition

Similarly, a **Group Involution** in a group ring is defined extending linearly an involution of the given group  $g \mapsto g^*$  to RG:

The Classical Involution of a group ring is defined extending linearly the map  $g \mapsto g^{-1}$  to RG:

$$\alpha = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g \quad \mapsto \quad \alpha^* = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g^{-1}.$$

#### Definition

Similarly, a **Group Involution** in a group ring is defined extending linearly an involution of the given group  $g \mapsto g^*$  to RG:

$$\alpha = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g \quad \mapsto \quad \alpha^* = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g^*.$$

Let \* be an involution on a group ring RG. We consider:

$$RG^{+} = \{ \alpha \in RG \mid \alpha^{*} = \alpha \}$$
  
$$RG^{-} = \{ \alpha \in RG \mid \alpha^{*} = -\alpha \}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

the sets of **symmetric** and **skew-symmetric** elements of *RG*, respectively.

Let \* be an involution on a group ring RG. We consider:

$$RG^{+} = \{ \alpha \in RG \mid \alpha^{*} = \alpha \}$$
  
$$RG^{-} = \{ \alpha \in RG \mid \alpha^{*} = -\alpha \}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

the sets of **symmetric** and **skew-symmetric** elements of *RG*, respectively.

Notice:

• *RG<sup>-</sup>* is a **Lie subalgebra** of *RG*.

Let \* be an involution on a group ring RG. We consider:

$$RG^{+} = \{ \alpha \in RG \mid \alpha^{*} = \alpha \}$$
  
$$RG^{-} = \{ \alpha \in RG \mid \alpha^{*} = -\alpha \}$$

the sets of **symmetric** and **skew-symmetric** elements of *RG*, respectively.

Notice:

- $RG^-$  is a Lie subalgebra of RG.
- $RG^+$  is a **Jordan subalgebra** of RG.

#### Theorem (Jespers and Ruiz, 2006)

Let  $\varphi$  be an involution of a non-abelian group G and let R be a commutative ring os characteristic different from 2. Then, the following are equivalent:

- **1**  $RG^+$  is commutative.
- $\bigcirc$  G is an SLC group with cannonical involution.

#### Theorem (Broche, Jespers, P.M. and Ruiz 2009)

Let *R* be a commutative ring. Suppose *G* is a non-abelian group and  $\varphi$  is an involution on *G*. Then,  $(RG)^-$  is commutative if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

- $K = \langle g \in G | g \notin G^+ \rangle$  is abelian (and thus  $G = K \cup Kx$ , where  $x \in G^+$ , and  $\varphi(k) = xkx^{-1}$  for all  $k \in K$ ) and  $R_2^2 = \{0\}.$
- 2  $R_2 = \{0\}$  and G contains an abelian subgroup of index 2 that is contained in  $G^+$ .
- char(R) = 4, |G'| = 2,  $G/G' = (G/G')^+$ ,  $g^2 \in G^+$  for all  $g \in G$ , and  $G^+$  is commutative in case  $R_2^2 \neq \{0\}$ .
- char(R) = 3, |G'| = 3,  $G/G' = (G/G')^+$  and  $g^3 \in G^+$  for all  $g \in G$ .

#### Theorem (Giambruno, PM and Sehgal 2013)

Let *F* be a field,  $char(F) \neq 2$ , and let G be a group with no 2-elements. Let \* be an involution on *FG* induced by an involution of *G* and suppose that no dihedral group is involved in *G*. Then the Lie algebra *FG*<sup>-</sup> is nilpotent if and only if either *FG* is Lie nilpotent or char(F) = p > 2 and the following conditions hold.

- The set P of p-elements in G is a subgroup,
- 2 \* is trivial on G/P ,
- there exist normal \*-invariant subgroups A and B, B ⊂ A such that B is a finite central p-subgroup of G, A/B is central in G/B and both G/A and {a ∈ A | aa\* ∈ B} are finite. 2.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Roughly speaking, a loop is a group which is not necessarily associative; more precisely, we have the following.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Roughly speaking, a loop is a group which is not necessarily associative; more precisely, we have the following.

#### Definition

A **loop** is a set *L* together with a (closed) binary operation  $(a, b) \mapsto ab$  for which there is a two-sided identity element 1 and such that the right and left translation maps

$$R_x$$
:  $a \mapsto ax$  and  $L_x$ :  $a \mapsto xa$ 

are bijections for all  $x \in L$ . This requirement implies that, for any  $a, b \in L$ , the equations ax = b and ya = b have unique solutions.

The **loop algebra** of L over an associative and commutative ring with unity R was introduced in 1944 by R.H. Bruck as a means to obtain a family of examples of nonassociative algebras. It is defined in a way similar to that of a group algebra; i.e., as the free R-module with basis L, with a multiplication induced distributively from the operation in L.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

The **loop algebra** of L over an associative and commutative ring with unity R was introduced in 1944 by R.H. Bruck as a means to obtain a family of examples of nonassociative algebras. It is defined in a way similar to that of a group algebra; i.e., as the free R-module with basis L, with a multiplication induced distributively from the operation in L.

## Definition

A ring R is alternative if

x(xy) = (xx)y and (xy)y = x(yy) for all  $x, y \in R$ .

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

## Definition

An **RA** (ring alternative) loop is a loop whose loop ring RL over some ring R with no 2-torsion is alternative, but not associative.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## Definition

An **RA** (ring alternative) loop is a loop whose loop ring RL over some ring R with no 2-torsion is alternative, but not associative.

#### Theorem

Let L be a loop. Then L is a loop with an alternative loop ring if and only if it has the following properties:

(i) If three elements associate in some order then they associate in all orders and

(ii) If  $g, h, k \in L$  do not associate, then gh.k = g.kh = h.gk.

## Definition

An **RA** (ring alternative) loop is a loop whose loop ring RL over some ring R with no 2-torsion is alternative, but not associative.

#### Theorem

Let L be a loop. Then L is a loop with an alternative loop ring if and only if it has the following properties:

(i) If three elements associate in some order then they associate in all orders and

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

(ii) If  $g, h, k \in L$  do not associate, then gh.k = g.kh = h.gk.

It follows that if RL is alternative over one ring R as in the definition, then it is also alternative over *all* such rings.

A group *G*, with center  $\mathcal{Z}(G)$ , is called an **LC group** (or, that it has **limited commutativity**) if it is not commutative and for any pair of elements  $x, y \in G$  we have that xy = yx if and only if either  $x \in \mathcal{Z}(G)$  or  $y \in \mathcal{Z}(G)$  or  $xy \in \mathcal{Z}(G)$ .

#### Theorem

A loop L is RA if and only if it is not commutative and, for any two elements a and b of L which do not commute, the subloop of L generated by its centre together with a and b is a group G such that

- (i) for any  $u \notin G$ ,  $L = G \cup Gu$  is the disjoint union of G and the coset Gu;
- (ii) G is an LC group.
- (iii) G has a unique nonidentity commutator s, which is necessarily central and of order 2;

(iv) the map

$$g\mapsto g^*=\left\{egin{array}{cc}g& ext{if $g$ is central}\sg& ext{otherwise}\end{array}
ight.$$

is an involution of G (i.e., an antiautomorphism of order 2); (v) multiplication in L is defined by

$$g(hu) = (hg)u$$
  

$$(gu)h = gh^*u$$
  

$$(gu)(hu) = g_0h^*g$$

where  $g, h \in G$  and  $g_0 = u^2$  is a central element of G.

A group G is called an **SLC groups** if it is LC and contains a unique non-trivial commutator s.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

A group G is called an **SLC groups** if it is LC and contains a unique non-trivial commutator s.

## Proposition

A group G, with center  $\mathcal{Z}(G)$ , is an SLC group if and only if  $G/\mathcal{Z}(G) \cong C_2 \times C_2$ .

#### Theorem (Leal - PM, 1993)

A group *G* is SLC if and only if *G* can be written in the form  $G = D \times A$ , where *A* is abelian and *D* is an indecomposable 2-group generated by its centre and two elements *x* and *y* which satisfy

(i)  $\mathcal{Z}(D) = C_{2^{m_1}} \times C_{2^{m_2}} \times C_{2^{m_3}}$ , where  $C_{2^{m_i}}$  is cyclic of order  $2^{m_i}$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, m_1 \ge 1$  and  $m_2, m_3 \ge 0$ ; (ii)  $(x, y) \in C_{2^{m_1}}$ ; (iii)  $x^2 \in C_{2^{m_1}} \times C_{2^{m_2}}$  and  $y^2 \in C_{2^{m_1}} \times C_{2^{m_2}} \times C_{2^{m_3}}$ .

#### Theorem (Jespers, Leal and PM, 1995)

Let G be a finite group. Then  $G/CZ(G) \cong C_2 \times C_2$  if and only if G can be written in the form  $G = D \times A$ , where A is abelian and  $D = \langle Z(D), x, y \rangle$  is of one of the following five types of indecomposable 2-groups:

Туре	$\mathcal{Z}(D)$	D
$D_1$	$\langle t_1 \rangle$	$\langle x, y, t_1 \mid (x, y) = t_1^{2^{m_1}-1}, x^2 = y^2 = t_1^{2^{m_1}} \rangle$
$D_2$	$\langle t_1 \rangle$	$\langle x, y, t_1 \mid (x, y) = t_1^{2^{m_1}-1}, x^2 = y^2 = t_1, t^{2^{m_1}} = 1 \rangle$
D <sub>3</sub>	$\langle t_1  angle  imes \langle t_2  angle$	$\langle x, y, t_1, t_2 \mid (x, y) = t_1^{2^{m_1}-1}, x^2 = t_1^{2^{m_1}} = t_2^{2^{m_2}} = 1, y^2 = t_2 \rangle$
$D_4$	$\langle t_1  angle  imes \langle t_2  angle$	$\langle x, y, t_1, t_2 \mid (x, y) = t_1^{2^{m_1}-1}, x^2 = t_1, y^2 = t_2, t_1^{2^{m_1}} = t_2^{2^{m_2}} = 1 \rangle$
$D_5$	$\langle t_1 \rangle \times \langle t_2 \rangle \times \langle t_3 \rangle$	$\langle x, y, t_1, t_2, t_3  $
		$(x, y) = t_1^{-1}, x^2 = t_2, y^2 = t_3, t_1^{-1} = t_2^{-2}, z = t_3^{-3}, z = 1$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

## Jordan Nilpotency

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

## Theorem (Goodaire and PM)

Let RG denote the group ring of a group G over a commutative coefficient ring R with 1. Then RG is Jordan nilpotent of index 3 if and only if

- car(R) = 4 and G is abelian or,
- *car*(*R*) = 2 and either *G* is abelian or *G* has a unique nonidentity commutator.

#### Theorem (Goodaire and PM)

Suppose the characteristic of R is different from 2 and  $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^*$  is an involution on the group ring RG that extends linearly an involution on G. Then the Jordan ring  $(RG)^+$  of symmetric elements is Jordan nilpotent of index 3 if and only if car(R) = 4and G is abelian, or an SLC group with \* canonical, or a nonabelian group with the following properties:

(a) any 
$$g \in G$$
 with  $g^* = g$  is central;

(b) G has an abelian subgroup A of index 2;

(c) there exists  $c \notin A$  with the property that for any  $a \in A$ , either ac = ca or the commutator (a, c) is central of order 2;

(d) 
$$a^* = (a, c)a$$
 for all  $a \in A$ .