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Introduction

## Notation

Let $A$ be an associative ring. The Lie bracket of two elements $a, b \in A$ is given by:

$$
[a, b]=a b-b a .
$$

With usual addition and the Lie bracket as a multiplication, $A$ becomes a Lie Algebra in the sense that it satisfies the Jacobi Identity:

$$
[[x, y], z]+[[z, x], y]+[[y, z], x]=0 \quad \forall x, y, z \in A
$$
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The ring is said to be Lie nilpotent, of index $n$, if $\left[\ldots\left[\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right], x_{3}\right], \ldots, x_{n}\right]=0$ for all choices of elements $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in A$.

Let $A$ be an associative ring. The circle operation of two elements $a, b \in A$ is given by:

$$
a \circ b=a b+b a .
$$

With usual addition and this operation as a multiplication, $A$ becomes a Jordan Algebra in the sense that it satisfies the Jordan Identity:

$$
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With usual addition and this operation as a multiplication, $A$ becomes a Jordan Algebra in the sense that it satisfies the Jordan Identity:
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((x \circ x) \circ y) \circ x=(x \circ x) \circ(y \circ x) \forall x, y, z \in A \text {. }
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The ring is said to be Jordan nilpotent, of index $n$, if $\left(\ldots\left(\left(x_{1} \circ x_{2}\right) \circ x_{3}\right) \circ \ldots \circ x_{n}\right)=0$ for all choices of elements $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in A$.

## Theorem (Passi, Passman and Sehgal 1973)

Let $K$ be a field with $\operatorname{char}(K)=p \geq 0$ and $G$ a group. The group algebra $K G$ is Lie nilpotent if and only if $G$ is nilpotent and $p$-abelian.
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Let $K$ be a field with $\operatorname{char}(K)=p \geq 0$ and $G$ a group. The group algebra $K G$ is Lie nilpotent if and only if $G$ is nilpotent and $p$-abelian.

Recall: $G$ is $p$-abelian if $G^{\prime}$ is a finite $p$ group (when $p>0$ ) or $G$ is an abelian group (when $p=0$ ).
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## Definition
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the sets of symmetric and skew-symmetric elements of $R G$, respectively.

Notice:

- $R G^{-}$is a Lie subalgebra of $R G$.
- $R G^{+}$is a Jordan subalgebra of $R G$.

Theorem (Jespers and Ruiz, 2006)
Let $\varphi$ be an involution of a non-abelian group $G$ and let $R$ be a commutative ring os characteristic different from 2. Then, the following are equivalent:
(1) $R G^{+}$is commutative.
(2) $G$ is an SLC group with cannonical involution.

## Theorem (Broche, Jespers, P.M. and Ruiz 2009)

Let $R$ be a commutative ring. Suppose $G$ is a non-abelian group and $\varphi$ is an involution on $G$. Then, $(R G)^{-}$is commutative if and only if one of the following conditions holds:
(1) $K=\left\langle g \in G \mid g \notin G^{+}\right\rangle$is abelian (and thus $G=K \cup K x$, where $x \in G^{+}$, and $\varphi(k)=x k x^{-1}$ for all $k \in K$ ) and $R_{2}^{2}=\{0\}$.
(2) $R_{2}=\{0\}$ and $G$ contains an abelian subgroup of index 2 that is contained in $G^{+}$.
(3) $\operatorname{char}(R)=4,\left|G^{\prime}\right|=2, G / G^{\prime}=\left(G / G^{\prime}\right)^{+}, g^{2} \in G^{+}$for all $g \in G$, and $G^{+}$is commutative in case $R_{2}^{2} \neq\{0\}$.
(3) $\operatorname{char}(R)=3,\left|G^{\prime}\right|=3, G / G^{\prime}=\left(G / G^{\prime}\right)^{+}$and $g^{3} \in G^{+}$for all $g \in G$.

## Theorem (Giambruno, PM and Sehgal 2013)

Let $F$ be a field, $\operatorname{char}(F) \neq 2$, and let $G$ be a group with no 2-elements. Let * be an involution on $F G$ induced by an involution of $G$ and suppose that no dihedral group is involved in $G$. Then the Lie algebra $F G^{-}$is nilpotent if and only if either $F G$ is Lie nilpotent or $\operatorname{char}(F)=p>2$ and the following conditions hold.
(1) The set $P$ of $p$-elements in $G$ is a subgroup,
(2) ${ }^{*}$ is trivial on $G / P$,
(3) there exist normal ${ }^{*}$-invariant subgroups $A$ and $B, B \subset A$ such that $B$ is a finite central p-subgroup of $G, A / B$ is central in $G / B$ and both $G / A$ and $\left\{a \in A \mid a a^{*} \in B\right\}$ are finite. 2.

## SLC groups

Roughly speaking, a loop is a group which is not necessarily associative; more precisely, we have the following.

Roughly speaking, a loop is a group which is not necessarily associative; more precisely, we have the following.

## Definition

A loop is a set $L$ together with a (closed) binary operation $(a, b) \mapsto a b$ for which there is a two-sided identity element 1 and such that the right and left translation maps

$$
R_{x}: a \mapsto a x \quad \text { and } \quad L_{x}: a \mapsto x a
$$

are bijections for all $x \in L$. This requirement implies that, for any $a, b \in L$, the equations $a x=b$ and $y a=b$ have unique solutions.

The loop algebra of $L$ over an associative and commutative ring with unity $R$ was introduced in 1944 by R.H. Bruck as a means to obtain a family of examples of nonassociative algebras. It is defined in a way similar to that of a group algebra; i.e., as the free R-module with basis $L$, with a multiplication induced distributively from the operation in $L$.

The loop algebra of $L$ over an associative and commutative ring with unity $R$ was introduced in 1944 by R.H. Bruck as a means to obtain a family of examples of nonassociative algebras.
It is defined in a way similar to that of a group algebra; i.e., as the free R-module with basis $L$, with a multiplication induced distributively from the operation in $L$.

## Definition

A ring $R$ is alternative if

$$
x(x y)=(x x) y \text { and }(x y) y=x(y y) \text { for all } x, y \in R
$$

In 1983, E.G. Goodaire defined RA loops:

In 1983, E.G. Goodaire defined RA loops:
Definition
An RA (ring alternative) loop is a loop whose loop ring $R L$ over some ring $R$ with no 2 -torsion is alternative, but not associative.

In 1983, E.G. Goodaire defined RA loops:

## Definition

An RA (ring alternative) loop is a loop whose loop ring $R L$ over some ring $R$ with no 2 -torsion is alternative, but not associative.

## Theorem

Let $L$ be a loop. Then $L$ is a loop with an alternative loop ring if and only if it has the following properties:
(i) If three elements associate in some order then they associate in all orders and
(ii) If $g, h, k \in L$ do not associate, then $g h . k=g . k h=h . g k$.

In 1983, E.G. Goodaire defined RA loops:

## Definition

An RA (ring alternative) loop is a loop whose loop ring $R L$ over some ring $R$ with no 2 -torsion is alternative, but not associative.

## Theorem

Let $L$ be a loop. Then $L$ is a loop with an alternative loop ring if and only if it has the following properties:
(i) If three elements associate in some order then they associate in all orders and
(ii) If $g, h, k \in L$ do not associate, then $g h . k=g . k h=h . g k$.

It follows that if $R L$ is alternative over one ring $R$ as in the definition, then it is also alternative over all such rings.

## Definition

A group $G$, with center $\mathcal{Z}(G)$, is called an LC group (or, that it has limited commutativity) if it is not commutative and for any pair of elements $x, y \in G$ we have that $x y=y x$ if and only if either $x \in \mathcal{Z}(G)$ or $y \in \mathcal{Z}(G)$ or $x y \in \mathcal{Z}(G)$.

## Theorem

A loop $L$ is RA if and only if it is not commutative and, for any two elements $a$ and $b$ of $L$ which do not commute, the subloop of $L$ generated by its centre together with $a$ and $b$ is a group $G$ such that
(i) for any $u \notin G, L=G \cup G u$ is the disjoint union of $G$ and the coset $G u$;
(ii) $G$ is an LC group.
(iii) $G$ has a unique nonidentity commutator $s$, which is necessarily central and of order 2;
(iv) the map

$$
g \mapsto g^{*}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
g & \text { if } g \text { is central } \\
s g & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

is an involution of $G$ (i.e., an antiautomorphism of order 2);
(v) multiplication in $L$ is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(h u) & =(h g) u \\
(g u) h & =g h^{*} u \\
(g u)(h u) & =g_{0} h^{*} g
\end{aligned}
$$

where $g, h \in G$ and $g_{0}=u^{2}$ is a central element of $G$.

Definition
A group $G$ is called an SLC groups if it is LC and contains a unique non-trivial commutators.

## Definition

A group $G$ is called an SLC groups if it is LC and contains a unique non-trivial commutator $s$.

## Proposition

A group $G$, with center $\mathcal{Z}(G)$, is an SLC group if and only if $G / \mathcal{Z}(G) \cong C_{2} \times C_{2}$.

## Theorem (Leal - PM, 1993)

A group $G$ is SLC if and only if $G$ can be written in the form $G=D \times A$, where $A$ is abelian and $D$ is an indecomposable 2-group generated by its centre and two elements $x$ and $y$ which satisfy
(i) $\mathcal{Z}(D)=C_{2^{m_{1}}} \times C_{2^{m_{2}}} \times C_{2^{m_{3}}}$, where $C_{2^{m_{i}}}$ is cyclic of order $2^{m_{i}}$ for $i=1,2,3, m_{1} \geq 1$ and $m_{2}, m_{3} \geq 0$;
(ii) $(x, y) \in C_{2^{m_{1}}}$;
(iii) $x^{2} \in C_{2^{m_{1}}} \times C_{2^{m_{2}}}$ and $y^{2} \in C_{2^{m_{1}}} \times C_{2^{m_{2}}} \times C_{2^{m_{3}}}$.

## Theorem (Jespers, Leal and PM, 1995)

Let $G$ be a finite group. Then $G / \mathcal{C} Z(G) \cong C_{2} \times C_{2}$ if and only if $G$ can be written in the form $G=D \times A$, where $A$ is abelian and $D=\langle\mathcal{Z}(D), x, y\rangle$ is of one of the following five types of indecomposable 2-groups:

| Type | $\mathcal{Z}(D)$ | D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $D_{1}$ | $\left\langle t_{1}\right\rangle$ | $\left\langle x, y, t_{1} \mid(x, y)=t_{1}^{2^{m_{1}}-1}, x^{2}=y^{2}=t_{1}^{2^{m} 1}\right\rangle$ |
| $D_{2}$ | $\left\langle t_{1}\right\rangle$ | $\left\langle x, y, t_{1} \mid(x, y)=t_{1}^{2^{m_{1}}-1}, x^{2}=y^{2}=t_{1}, t^{2^{m_{1}}}=1\right\rangle$ |
| $D_{3}$ | $\left\langle t_{1}\right\rangle \times\left\langle t_{2}\right\rangle$ | $\left\langle x, y, t_{1}, t_{2} \mid(x, y)=t_{1}^{2^{m_{1}}-1}, x^{2}=t_{1}^{2_{1} m_{1}}=t_{2}^{m^{m}}=1, y^{2}=t_{2}\right\rangle$ |
| $D_{4}$ | $\left\langle t_{1}\right\rangle \times\left\langle t_{2}\right\rangle$ | $\left\langle x, y, t_{1}, t_{2} \mid(x, y)=t_{1}^{2^{m_{1}}-1}, x^{2}=t_{1}, y^{2}=t_{2}, t_{1}^{2^{m_{1}}}=t_{2}^{m^{m}}=1\right\rangle$ |
| $D_{5}$ | $\left\langle t_{1}\right\rangle \times\left\langle t_{2}\right\rangle \times\left\langle t_{3}\right\rangle$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left\langle x, y, t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}\right. \\ & \left.\quad(x, y)=t_{1}^{2^{m_{1}}-1}, x^{2}=t_{2}, y^{2}=t_{3}, t_{1}^{2_{1}}=t_{2}^{2^{m_{2}}}=t_{3}^{2^{m_{3}}}=1\right\rangle \end{aligned}$ |

## Jordan Nilpotency

## Theorem (Goodaire and PM)

Let $R G$ denote the group ring of a group $G$ over a commutative coefficient ring $R$ with 1 . Then $R G$ is Jordan nilpotent of index 3 if and only if
(1) $\operatorname{car}(R)=4$ and $G$ is abelian or,
(2) $\operatorname{car}(R)=2$ and either $G$ is abelian or $G$ has a unique nonidentity commutator.

## Theorem (Goodaire and PM)

Suppose the characteristic of $R$ is different from 2 and $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^{*}$ is an involution on the group ring $R G$ that extends linearly an involution on $G$. Then the Jordan ring $(R G)^{+}$of symmetric elements is Jordan nilpotent of index 3 if and only if $\operatorname{car}(R)=4$ and $G$ is abelian, or an SLC group with $*$ canonical, or a nonabelian group with the following properties:
(a) any $g \in G$ with $g^{*}=g$ is central;
(b) $G$ has an abelian subgroup $A$ of index 2 ;
(c) there exists $c \notin A$ with the property that for any $a \in A$, either $a c=c a$ or the commutator $(a, c)$ is central of order 2 ;
(d) $a^{*}=(a, c) a$ for all $a \in A$.

